

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,260	04/08/2004	Sarah Bruce Snyder	6298-456	8627	
757	7590 12/13/2005		EXAM	INER	
BRINKS HO	FER GILSON & LIONE	BUNIN, AN	BUNIN, ANDREW M		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cincindo, i	5 00010		3743		
				D. TD. 14 M. DD. 10/10/005	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 7/1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/821,260	SNYDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew M. Bunin	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 41-58</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-21 and 47-58 is/	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-21 and 47-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 41-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Oπice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreinga) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure 		received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		t received				
	ist of the column of copies her					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/821,260

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9-21 and 47-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/8/05.

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 and 41-46 in the reply filed on 9/12/05 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 5 contains the trademark/trade name PermaStat. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe the type of material within the plastic and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rupprect et al. (Medical Device & Diagnostic Industry Magazine- January 1999).

Rupprect et al. discloses an antistatic holding chamber comprising a plastic material having a surface resistivity of between about 10E10 and about 10E12 ohm/sq.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,260

Art Unit: 3743

Rupprect et al. states how polycarbonate thermoplastics are used with "equipment housings and reservoirs" in medical components which anticipates an antistatic holding chamber (page 7 under the heading Thermoplastics in Common Conductive Compounds). In addition, Rupprect et al. includes a table that teaches a plastic material having a surface resistivity 1E10-1E12 ohm/sq. This range meets the objective of the instant application's surface resistivity. Rupprect et al. continue to disclose the plastic material comprising a polypropylene material (Table I). Rupprect et al. also disclose the material as being either polypropylene, polycarbonate, polystyrene, nylon, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene, high density polyethylene, acetal, or polybutylene terephthalate (Table I). Rupprect et al. teach at least a portion of the holding chamber is see-through. Rupprect et al. states, "thermoplastic compounds retain transparency while exhibiting static-control properties" (page 9, 2nd paragraph under section Features of Conductive Thermoplastics). As for claim 8, Rupprect et al. continues to meet the range of a plastic material having a surface resistivity between 10E10 and about 10E11 ohm/sq. as shown in the bottom of Table I.

Furthermore, Rupprect et al anticipate claims 41-46. Rupprect et al. disclose an antistatic component comprising a see-through material having a surface resistivity of less than about 10E12 ohm/sq (bottom of Table I). In addition, Rupprect et al. states, "thermoplastic compounds retain transparency while exhibiting static-control properties" (page 9, 2nd paragraph under section Features of Conductive Thermoplastics). Rupprect et al. states how polycarbonate thermoplastics are used with "equipment housings and reservoirs" in medical components which anticipates a component having

Art Unit: 3743

a holding chamber (page 7 under the heading Thermoplastics in Common Conductive Compounds). As stated above, the plastic having a surface resistivity between about 10E6 and 10E12 ohm/sq, 10E10 and 10E12 ohm/sq, and means for providing a surface resistivity of between about 10E10 and 10E12 ohm/sq is anticipated in Table I of Rupprect et al. Lastly, Rupprect et al. discloses the antistatic component as being utilized as a holding chamber or other parts of a respirator or nebulizer similar to the instant application. Rupprect et al. states how the thermoplastic polysulfone may be used with respirators or nebulizers on page 7 (Thermoplastics in Common Conductive Compounds).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rupprect et al. in view of Engelbreth et al. (US 6345617). Rupprect et al. discloses everything except the specific features of the medication delivery apparatus that includes the antistatic holding chamber. However, Engelbreth et al. discloses a holding chamber 24 having an input end 46 and an output end 48, and further comprising a backpiece 527 separate from the holding chamber 24 and comprising an elastomeric material (column 8, lines 41-45) wherein the backpiece 527 is connected to an input end

Art Unit: 3743

of the holding chamber (Figure 17). In addition, the backpiece 527 comprises an opening 529 formed therethrough, this opening 529 is shaped and adapted to receive a portion of a pressurized metered dose inhaler (Figure 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Engelbreth et al. to include the plastic taught by Rupprect et al. in order to control static within inhalers for proper dosage (MD&DI page 10, 1st bullet under Applications section).

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, and 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rupprect et al. in view of the applicant's prior art. Applicant has admitted in the specification that the PermaStat compounds are well known in the art specifically available from the RTP Company. Therefore, it is noted that applicant's specification does not set forth this feature, as unexpectedly providing any new result or unexpectedly solving any new problem in the art over the prior art. Accordingly, the examiner considers the selection of such to be a mere obvious matter of design choice and as such does not patently distinguish the claims over the prior art, barring a convincing showing of evidence to the contrary. In addition, applicant has shown through the IDS ("PermaStat Compounds"- RTP Company) that the PermaStat compounds include the characteristic of a consistent surface resistivity of 1E10 to 1E11 ohms/sq. as well as retain transparency. Therefore, the prior art of PermaStat anticipates the claimed subject matter in relation to the antistatic plastic.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 2004/0094148, US 6435176, US 3896101, and US 6293279

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Bunin whose telephone number is (571)272-4801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571)272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMB 11/21/05

Monity Lannen Lydniszy Panynt Examiner